



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,924	08/22/2001	Brian R. Beams	05222.00184	9686

29638 7590 12/11/2007

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NO. 005222  
10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief  
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

09/934,924

Applicant(s)

BEAMS ET AL.

Examiner

Salad E. Abdullahi

Art Unit

2157

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 10 September 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The claimed invention is not properly mapped to independent claims 20, 29 and 30 which shall refer to specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any (for example independent claim 20, recites "dynamically adding a second virtual instructor with the first virtual instructor and the one or more users". Applicant mapped such claim limitation to (page 228, lines 1-6, fig. 95 block 95420). Examiner asserts there is no mapping for the dynamically adding a second virtual instructor with the first virtual instructor and the one or more users. Applicant points to fig. 95, block 95420, but the figure does not show that. Furthermore, page 228, lines 1-6 of the specification is shown here "If instruction is desired as detected at decision block 95300, then a lecture can be presented in function block 95400, a presentation is displayed at function block 95410, a collaboration is initiated at function block 95420, a moderation is performed at function block 95500, breakout groups or rooms are initiated at function block 95600 and a session is recorded at 95610 and control is returned to label A 95001". Examiner asserts there is no mapping for the claim limitation "dynamically adding a second virtual instructor with the first virtual instructor and the one or more users" to this section of the specification. Examiner requests more detailed mapping for the independent claims be provided..

ABDULAHISALAD  
PRIMARY EXAMINER